to malayina C.

1

Dear Coh,

Today I received your letter of 8/5, and I still have to answer your previous one. I am happy with your letters, because your questions and remarks are so direct. What was the Wesak Expo like?

You wrote to me about the rising and falling of the abdomen and what a meditator had said to you. You will hear many different opinions and views from different people and you night find this confusing. Therefore I would like to tell you first a little more about what we should do when we hear different coinions and what the Buddha said about this.

In Buddhish we do not follow teachers. The Buddha said that people should find out the truth by considering themselves, searching, testing the meaning of what one has heard and not follow blindly other people. We do not believe in what others say unloss we have checked it ourselves. The word faith or belief in the .uddhist teachings does not wean faith in a person, faith (saddha in Pali) means confidence in Rusala, in wholesomeness, Cortainly, it is wholesome to have respect for teachers, and especially for monks, since they observe the 'sila' of the monk, and they lead a life of contentment with little. But this does not bean that everything a monk teaches is the truth, because a monk is not the Buddha. Monks have different levels of understanding too, and we should test the meaning of all Phamma we hear, even the Buddha wanted people to test the meaning of what he said. Thus, asking questions is very good in order to find out more. I just received a very inspiring letter from the Amank Australian monk Phro Dhanmadharo who resides in Bangkok, but who is now for a while in .. ustralia (I hope you will meet him once). He wrote: " Judy more. Listen more. A'k more. Discuss more. Urga others to study, listen, ask, discuss and be aware too." He sent this letter to many. He also said that the Lactor of enlightenment which is colled Dhammavicaya (this is pamia), wisdom) cannot be developed without assiduous questioning.

Thus, what I will say about the abdomen, do not believe me blindly, but while you check and study the teachings, and consider them, you will gradually find out whether it is useful or not. Iso by trying it out yourself, whereto does it lead? You will find out whether it brings you nearer to knowing yourself or not. I have heard about it a lot from people, but nowhere in the sutume or in the commentaries have I found that one should concentrate on the does not in the commentaries have I found that one should concentrate on the interpretation in Your people do this. In this

You write that the meditator had said that concentration on the abdonen is similar to Vipassana. Therefore I think it is usoful to find out what is exactly vipassana and then one can check what different people say. I try to explain in 'Buddhist Cutlook on Daily Life' Chapter one, but this book cannot be read all in one day. It is better to read it a few pages at a tire and then reread. I think this book is easier than the chidhansa book and forms can be an inter introduction to it. Prease contradict no where you do not agree, I like to be contradicted because it shows people are considering the truth for themselves. (I know islan children are taught not to contradict, it is a matter of culture). I think that the aim of vipassana is : seeing things as they are, seeing the realities of our daily life such as seeing, visible object, hearing, sound, and Charts as they are: no self in them, only different phenomena (nama and rupa) which do not stay. But we are so ignorant of seeing, visible object, hearing, sound, thinking, and we put self in them. Is there no idea of self who a sees, self who hears, self who thinks? To are full of self. When the wisdow is developed which sees things as they are the concept of self can be eradicated. However, the development of this wisdom is stop by stop. You ask me whother I meant that listening and studying are vipassana. No. I did not mean that. Listening , atudying and considering what you learnt are a necessary foundation for vipassana. Vipassana itself is directly experiencing the phenomena as they appear through the doors of eyes, ears, nose, tongue, body-sense and mind, experiencing then as they are, as not self. Amina There are different degrees of windom (panina). The knowledge you get from books and from listening is not the same as the direct experience of the truth, but the direct experience of the truth (vipassana) cannot be attained unless there is first study and listening and considering , pondering it over in your mind. Pondering it over, thinking, is not the same as the direct experience of the characteristic of visible object which appears now, of socing which appears now, of hearing which appears now, of sound which appears now, of thinking which appears now. All these phonomena have different characteristics, they can be directly experiencedwhen they appear, now.

You ask me for a suitable method for vipassana you can use each day, without taking up too much time. Yes, them for vipassana you do not sot select a special time. The avareness of name and rupa is not self, no you, it is a mental factor which strings can arise only with kusala cittas. Then there is more theoretical understanding first of what to be aware of (see Suddhist autlook) the sati

:5

7

(awareness) can arise now and then in the middle of your activities of daily life, and you do not stop these activities at all, thus, you do not set aside a special time. That would be even wrong to do because then there is not the right sati, but so-called sati with a lot of self in it who tries to have sati, while the purpose is: lossening of the idea of self. I explained it now in very short words, but of course there is a lot more to be said about this subject. For the mement it would be uneful if you start reading just very little every day in 'B ddhist Outlook' and do not expect a lot of result in a short time. We have to be very patient, because sati will arise by itself, when there is snough are conditions for it. That is, when there is the right understanding what sati is, and also it depends on previous lives (which we do not know) whether there was also sati accumulated in previous lives. There cannot be a long moment of sati, it arises and falls away with the make citta, thus, it is there only for a very brief moment and then it is gone, also sati is impermanent, like all phenomena of life. But then, it can arise again and again.

As I tried to explain in a previous latter, the abdomen cannot be directly experienced, it does not have a characteristic which can be directly experienced, thus it is not an object for vipassana. The call many different phenomena together abdomen, but this is a concept, an idea, it is not a reality you can directly experience. Hardness, seftness, pressure can be directly experienced through the body-sense, but try this out: can you directly experience through touch the abdomen? Abdomen is a word, an idea we just think about, thus it is not ank a paramattha dhamma, a name or rupa which can be directly experienced.

It is the same with 'porson', in reality there is no person, we cannot directly experience a person, we cannot see a person. What is seen is just visible object, colour, this is experienced through the eyes. If think of person because of experiences in the past and what we have learned. But what we think is not always real, "Million a paramethra dhamma. I can think of realities, and also think of ideas or fictions, things which are not real, concepts. I know that this must sound very complicated to you, but by hearing it again and again it will become clearer. Then it will become clearer to you what the Buddha meant by: no self, no person. What we call bedy are many different rupas which do not stay, they change each nement. It may be useful to start also with the first chapter of the green Abhidherma book, where I explain this. You could show this to the neak who will teach you and may be if he likes a copy you could ask

Hr. Jonathan Abbot again.

You said that your friend cannot understand Abhidhamma, but also for this subject one needs patience, not everything can be understood at once. You could discuss together what you do not understand about this book and drw draw up a list of questions (also about what you do not understand of this letter I am writing now). A king is the best way to find out, moreover, while you study and consider, the cittas are kusala cittas. Study is a form of bhavana (mental development).

You wrote in a previous letter that you should cultivate upekkha-About this, many people have migunderstandings. Tey think that they should not have pleasant feeling or unpleasant feeling, but neutral feeling. Who in the world can stop pleasant feeling or unploasant fooling from arising? These foolings have arisen already before you know it, you cannot send them back. In vipassana one learns to know different feelings as only mental phenomena, kinds of experiences, not as self who feels, no self in it. In Vipassana any reality which appears can be object of awareness, no suppressing in vipassana, no forcing. If it makes a person stronuous, he is not on the 'Maddle Way', not practising rightly. Quite some laypeople want to initate monks , they think it is necessary to lead a quiet life in order to know the truth. This is not the right way. Laypeop! should load their natural life and know the names and rupes arising in their lives. We shop, we enjoy many things in life, we read newspapers, we relax. Well, if we stop these things there is the self again which desires, is attached to sati and wants to force it by leading a life which is unnatural for that person. This point is very, very important in vipassana. In our great enthousiasm we night be inclined to imitate the monk, we want to study Dharma all day and avoid shopping, going out on picnics or swimming. Dut are there not names, phonomena which experience semething and rupas, phenomena which do not experience anything during all those activities 7.0 are laypoople because of previous accumulations and we have accumulated a lot of attachment through eyes, ears, and the other senses. It is good to know this attachment when it appears and then we learn that lobbe through the eyes is different from lobba through the ears or the nose. So, outwardly, nobody can see the difference between semeone who develops vipassana and semebody who does not. Daily life goes on , but panna can dowly develop, How unnatural, how forced it would be if one shows it off to develop vipassana and behaves differently, that is again the self who desires sati and wants to force it.

and only by not forcing down ploasant and unpleasant feelings can one learn to know enceeff. The Buddha spoke about the cultivation of upokkha, but in another sense. There is a cetasika (mental factor) which is 'evenwindedness' and this is not feeling, it is a cetasikas which arises with every kneaka white wholosome citta. Wisdom brings more conditions for 'evenmindedness', impartiality, during the short moment it arises. 'isdom also falls away immediately and but it can arise again. Findom brings more peace of mind, but it does not mean we have to try, or do anything to force it, that is impossible.

Now your remark about sahathan this is a different method and different mims moreover it is for those who lead a secluded life. The development is very, very subtle and very difficult and should only be undertaken by those who have great accumulations for it.

See Buddhist outlook in daily life; it does not bring detachment from the concept of self.

The concentration on the abdomen quiets the mind the meditator said: what kind of quietness, it may be a quietness which is akusala. When we enjoy wilence it is attachment, it is natural, but it is akusala. Thus we should not take it for kusala; we do not supress it, but know it as it is. People in it before the Buddha a time also practised yoga methods, it is not Buddhism.

The Buddha taught about realities, everything which is real and appears through the six doorways. This is a now teaching. Should we not find out more about this? In fact we do not have to call it Buddhism, we can just talk about everything which is real and which can be experienced. hany people do not know that this is Buddhism, they think the understand our own accumulations more we become much more understanding of other people, we understand better that they have different accumulations and that nobody can force them to think the way we do. To become much more telerant and this is more pleasant for the others we live tegether with. If we feel misorable about it, it is our fault, the citta is akusalar there is dose, aversion with the citta. When there is aversion we cannot help others. Hearing an unpleasant sound is only hearing, it is a citta which is result of our own keeps, it is vipaka-citta which falls away is needlately, then very shortly after; aversion maiss may arise but all these are only different hanss, no self who has aversion it is a nama, a kind of experience arising because there are conditions. Can there not be awareness of its characteristic when it appears? That is vipassana, a beginning of it, with notth, Mina.